Meridian Road Pecans







Bill Chance Realty 530-343-7085 office 530-520-1882 cell billchance@sbcglobal.net DRE #00969209

Meridian Road Pecans

Location: 14450 Meridian Raod, Chico, CA

Acres: 60

Assessor's Parcel

Numbers: 047-690-001

Zoning: AG-160

Soils: See soil map

Water: Provided by an agricultural well with 40 H. P. electric turbine motors, distributed by

a solid set sprinkler system.

Crops: 37+ acres of pecans planted in the spring of 2013. The tree spacing is 30' x 25', and

the varieties are 80% Pawnee and 20% Lakota. The Lakota is the pollinator and is planted every fifth row. The first crop of pecans was harvested in 2018; then in 2020 the tree structure was changed by substantial pruning of the trees, this resulted in a dramatic decrease in production, which the trees are recovering from now. Please refer to the production records on the following page. In addition, there is approximately 5 acres of irrigated pasture and approximately 17 acres of winter grazing

land.

Improvements: A 2013 2-bedroom 2 bath mobile home on a permanent foundation; a shop/equip-

ment storage building that is 42' x 100' and a solar array that is 22,440 watts. The mobile home is rented on a month-to-month basis and generates \$16,100 per year in

rental income.

Comments: This property comes with multiple opportunities for an owner. Butte County zoning

allows for accessary dwelling units. This allows for someone to build a new residence and keep the mobile home; you have the future income from the pecan crop and a beautiful place to live. It is very well maintained and in good condition. If you have an entrepreneurial spirit, you could develop your own market for the pecans, the current owner has been selling a small portion of the crop at farmer's market,

but there is also the potential to do direct sales online.

Sales Price: \$975,000

The information contained herein including, but not limited to, all acreage has been obtained from sources we deem reliable. We do not, however, guarantee its accuracy

PECAN HARVEST

Lakola: 394 Net Processed: 6,000	2021 - Pawnee: 4,981 Lal 2020 - Pawnee: 7,352 Lal 2019 - Pawnee: 10,951 Lal 2018 - Pawnee: 8,056 Lal	Lakota: 2,168 Lakota: 544 Lakota: 2,804 Lakota: 594	We paid Huller: Net Processed: 7,149 We paid Huller Net Processed: 7,896 We paid Huller 12-1-20 Net Processed: 13,755 We paid to Huller 12-9-19 Net Processed: 8,650	\$14,213.40 \$14,213.40 \$1,465.33- \$2,677.05 \$217.16 \$14,078.12 \$14,388.99 \$2,475.90- \$9,838.16 \$7,083.33	Net Proceeds: \$15,085.41 Net Proceeds: \$12,748.07 Net Proceeds: \$8,072.93
Lakota: 544 Net Processed: 7,896 \$ 9,677.05 \$ 217.16 We paid Huller 12-1-20 -\$1,821.28-14,078.12 \$ 14,078.12 \$ 14,078.12 \$ 14,078.12 \$ 14,078.12 \$ 14,078.12		akota: 2,168	We paid Huller: Net Processed: 7,149	-\$3,246.31-	Net Proceeds: \$16,085.41
Lakota: 2,804 We paid Huller 12-1-20 -\$1,821.28- Lakota: 2,804 Net Processed: 13,755 \$14,078.12 We paid to Huller 12-9-19 -\$2,475.90-	_	Lakota: 4,506 Lakota: 2,168 Lakota: 544	Net Processed: 16,743 We paid Huller: Net Processed: 7,149 We paid Huller Net Processed: 7,896	\$19,331.72 -\$3,246.31- \$14,213.40 -\$1,465.33- \$ 9,677.05 \$ 217.16	Net Proceeds: \$16,085.41 Net Proceeds: \$12,748.07
Lakola: 594			We paid Huller 12-1-20 Net Processed: 13,755 We paid to Huller 12-9-19 Net Processed: 8.650	\$14,078.12 \$14,388.99 -\$2,475.90-	Net Proceeds: \$ 8,072.93

Net Proceeds: \$15,364.49

We paid to Huller 12-20-18 -\$1,557.00-














































1*=400′

PTN. NW 1/4 SEC. 30 T.23N. R.1E. M.D.B.&M.



Butte County Assessor's Map Book 47, Page 69

TOWN TO COOK TOWN	

	mous sia	Ston ordin	in Shorm on	PER RECORDE
	AY. Pro	ocal suboliv	nformation s	RECONATE PER
1	1 sasodund	tate and la	use of hi	ACES APPRI
	assessment purposes DM.Y. Parcels shown	comply with State and local subdivision order	issumed for	ALL ACREAGES APPRI
	ä	8	S	

KILKARE SUBDINSION, 173 M.O.R. 37/39, 12-27-2007, LOTS 1/6




Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants Custom Soil Resource
Report for
Butte Area, California,
Parts of Butte and
Plumas Counties

Meridian Road Pecans



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	
Soil Map	
Soil Map (Meridian Road Pecans)	
Legend	
Map Unit Legend (Meridian Road Pecans)	12
Map Unit Descriptions (Meridian Road Pecans)	
Butte Area, California, Parts of Butte and Plumas Counties	
300—Redsluff gravelly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	
606—Redtough-Fallager-Anita, gravelly duripan, 0 to 3 percent slopes	
References	

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.


While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



MAP LEGEND

W 8 0 Soil Map Unit Polygons Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Map Unit Points Soil Map Unit Lines Area of Interest (AOI) Soils

Very Stony Spot

Wet Spot Other

Stony Spot

Spoil Area

Special Point Features

Special Line Features

Streams and Canals

Water Features

- Blowout
- Borrow Pit Clay Spot
- Closed Depression

Interstate Highways

Rails

ŧ

Fransportation

- **Gravel Pit**
- **Gravelly Spot**

Major Roads Local Roads

US Routes

- Lava Flow Landfill
- Marsh or swamp

Aerial Photography

Background

- Mine or Quarry
- Miscellaneous Water
 - Perennial Water
- Rock Outcrop
- Saline Spot Sandy Spot
- Severely Eroded Spot
- Sinkhole
- Slide or Slip

Sodic Spot

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Butte Area, California, Parts of Butte and Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 28, 2023 Soil Survey Area: Plumas Counties

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 3, 2023—Sep 8,

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend (Meridian Road Pecans)

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
300	Redsluff gravelly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	44.4	74.1%
606	Redtough-Fallager-Anita, gravelly duripan , 0 to 3 percent slopes	15.5	25.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		59.8	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions (Meridian Road Pecans)

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or

landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An association is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Butte Area, California, Parts of Butte and Plumas Counties

300—Redsluff gravelly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hh0t Elevation: 180 to 400 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 24 to 29 inches Mean annual air temperature: 61 to 63 degrees F

Frost-free period: 250 to 255 days

Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Redsluff, gravelly loam, and similar soils: 80 percent

Minor components: 20 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Redsluff, Gravelly Loam

Setting

Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Fine-loamy alluvium derived from igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rock over gravelly alluvium derived from volcanic rock

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 2 inches: gravelly loam
Bt1 - 2 to 5 inches: gravelly loam
Bt2 - 5 to 12 inches: gravelly clay loam
Bt3 - 12 to 21 inches: gravelly loam
Bt4 - 21 to 29 inches: gravelly loam
Bt5 - 29 to 37 inches: gravelly loam

Bt6 - 37 to 42 inches: extremely gravelly sandy loam Cq - 42 to 80 inches: extremely gravelly loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Runoff class: Negligible

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.28

to 0.99 in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 35 to 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: Rare Frequency of ponding: None

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 0.5 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3s

Hydrologic Soil Group: C

Ecological site: R017XY904CA - Subirrigated Deep Alluvial Fans

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Unnamed, weak cementation below 40 inches

Percent of map unit: 4 percent

Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Fernandez, sandy loam

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Typic haploxeralfs, very deep

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Anita, gravelly duripan

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Swales

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

Redtough

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Pachic argixerolls

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Munjar

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

606—Redtough-Fallager-Anita, gravelly duripan, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hgy1 Elevation: 160 to 400 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 23 to 26 inches Mean annual air temperature: 61 to 63 degrees F

Frost-free period: 250 to 255 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Redtough, loam, and similar soils: 45 percent Fallager, loam, and similar soils: 30 percent

Anita, gravelly duripan, and similar soils: 15 percent

Minor components: 10 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Redtough, Loam

Setting

Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Mounds

Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex

Parent material: Loamy alluvium over cemented cobbly and gravelly alluvium

derived from volcanic rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 1 inches: loam

Bt1 - 1 to 7 inches: gravelly loam
Bt2 - 7 to 13 inches: very cobbly loam

Bqm - 13 to 23 inches: cemented very gravelly material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: 10 to 20 inches to duripan

Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained

Runoff class: Very high

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00

in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 2 to 20 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 7s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s

Hydrologic Soil Group: D

Ecological site: R017XY902CA - Duripan Vernal Pools

Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Fallager, Loam

Setting

Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Swales

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Loamy alluvium over clayey alluvium over cemented gravelly

alluvium derived from volcanic rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 1 inches: loam

Bt1 - 1 to 3 inches: gravelly clay loam 2Bt2 - 3 to 7 inches: gravelly clay

3Bqm - 7 to 17 inches: cemented gravelly material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: 4 to 10 inches to duripan

Drainage class: Poorly drained Runoff class: Very high

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00

in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 0 to 10 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: Frequent

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 0.5 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 0.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 8 Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8

Hydrologic Soil Group: D

Ecological site: R017XY902CA - Duripan Vernal Pools

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Description of Anita, Gravelly Duripan

Setting

Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Swales

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Clayey alluvium over cemented sandy alluvium derived from

volcanic rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 3 inches: gravelly clay Bss1 - 3 to 8 inches: gravelly clay Bss2 - 8 to 15 inches: gravelly clay

2Bkqm - 15 to 25 inches: cemented gravelly material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: 10 to 20 inches to duripan

Drainage class: Poorly drained

Runoff class: Very high

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00

in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 0 to 20 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: Frequent

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 1 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 0.5 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 6s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s

Hydrologic Soil Group: D

Ecological site: R017XY902CA - Duripan Vernal Pools

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Minor Components

Galt, clay

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Swales

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

Unnamed, duripan outcrop

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser

Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

~ 23

Tuscan, gravelly loam

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Mounds

Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex

Hydric soil rating: No

Unnamed, clay, duripan less than 10 inches

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Swales

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

Unnamed, loamy or clayey soils

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Fan remnants

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Microfeatures of landform position: Vernal pools

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf